UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/520,405	03/08/2000	Michael G. Martinek	IGT1P369/SH00052-001	1300
Weaver Austin Villeneuve & Sampson LLP - IGT Attn: IGT P.O. Box 70250 Oakland, CA 94612-0250			EXAMINER	
			LEIVA, FRANK M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@wavsip.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/520,405	MARTINEK ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		FRANK M. LEIVA	3714			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Ma</u>	av 2010				
•						
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥/ك	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice and i	x parte gadyle, 1000 C.D. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>58-70,74-76,78,79 and 81-83</u> is/are po	ending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>58-70,74-76,78,79 and 81-83</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
	on Papers					
	· The specification is objected to by the Examine	•				
-			Evaminor			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgements

1. The examiner acknowledges supplemental amendment filed 25 May 2010 over writing the previous submission, claims 58, 59, 64-66 and 76 have been amended, and claim 80 has been canceled, remaining pending claims 58-70, 74-76, 78-79 and 81-83.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to pending claims 58-70, 74-76, 78-79 and 81-83 are directed to the submitted amended limitations and will be reviewed below, hence been considered moot in view of further review.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 81 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 81 is dependent to claim 80 that has been canceled in the current submission by applicant, since claim 80 was originally dependent to claim 58, the examiner will continue the review as if dependent to claim 58. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 2nd Paragraph

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- **5. Claim 81** recites the limitation "the game object" in lines 1 and 3, and "the bonus object" in lines 2 and 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim, since as stated above claim 81 is read as if dependent on claim 58.

Art Unit: 3714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. Claims 58-70, 74-76, 78-79 and 81-83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. (US 5,592,609) in view of Mastera et al. (US 6,315,666 B1).
- **8.** Regarding the analogous art combination; Suzuki as applied above discloses a video game graphics program that loads program objects to be executed; and Mastera discloses a wagering game that loads Bonus gaming objects into the working ram to be executed.
- **9. Regarding claims 58, 76 and 78;** Suzuki discloses a computerized game apparatus, comprising a computerized game controller (game processor), comprising a processor with a memory (RAM cassette 4) and an operating system stored in said memory, the controller further comprising a game state storage, a nonvolatile storage (floppy disk 8), the computerized game controller being operable to control a computerized wagering game, (fig. 1A, col. 5:19-43);

an operating system (system operation) that runs on the computerized game controller, (col. 5:19-43), the operating system comprising an operating system kernel and a system handler application, (col. 27:40-52), the operating system kernel and system handler application operable: to dynamically link with a plurality of gaming program shared objects and device handlers for the computerized wagering game, (col. 27:40-52), at run time when the computerized game is executed in a manner that allows

the plurality of gaming program objects (operating system subroutines) to call a set of common functions effectively provided by the system handler application when the system handler application is executed, (col. 27:40-52), and load said gaming program shared objects and device handlers, (col. 27:40- 28:7);

the system handler application comprising an Application Program Interface, (game CPU interface 272), comprising functions callable from the gaming program shared objects (such as debug functions), the Application Program Interface comprising a plurality of gaming functions callable by and used by the plurality of the gaming program shared objects (buffers drivers, debug subroutines, copy Ram, or system break routines), the plurality of functions stored in the computerized game controller, (col. 21:37-64);

the system handler application operable to load and execute a game shared object based on game data variables stored in the nonvolatile storage, (col. 5:19-43), the game shared object configured to provide normal game operation of the computerized game;

write the game data variables (game information) to at least one of the game state storage and nonvolatile storage when the game shared object is executed, (col. 11:30-44);

Suzuki is silent to the computerized game being a wagering game, while Mastera discloses a wagering game that uses game routines or game objects and loads a bonus shared object in response to a change in the stored game data variables by at least the game shared object, (col. 13:5-20), the bonus shared object configured to provide bonus operation, bonus operation having different features from normal game operation; and execute the bonus shared object, thereby changing from normal game operation to bonus game operation, (fig. 10 and col. 19:54-col. 20:16).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the Suzuki invention to include the common wagering games of Mastera and use bonus gaming objects in the invention as it is a well-known state of the art technique for maintaining player interest in the game.

Art Unit: 3714

10. Regarding claim 59; Suzuki and Mastera disclose all the limitation of claim 1 from which claim 59 depends, and Mastera further discloses wherein the game data variables comprise meter information, data to recreate the computerized wagering game upon power loss, game history, currency history, credit information, ticket printing history, or a combination thereof, (col. 9:23-35 and col. 13:5-20).

- 11. Regarding claim 60; Suzuki discloses wherein the system handler application comprises software having the ability when executed to: unload a previous gaming program shared object or device handler if a previous object or device handler has been loaded; load a new gaming program shared object or device handler; and execute the new gaming program shared object or device handler. (col. 28:23-36).
- **12. Regarding claim 61;** Suzuki discloses wherein data variables modified by the gaming program shared objects are stored by the system handler application in the nonvolatile storage and a game state storage, and the system handler application functions to verify that the operating system or code for a shared object has not changed, (col. 1:51-2:4).
- **13. Regarding claim 62;** Suzuki discloses wherein the game state storage provides a variable name index to associated variable data locations within the nonvolatile storage, (col. 14:49-65 and 12:12-23).
- **14. Regarding claim 63;** Suzuki discloses wherein changing a data variable in nonvolatile storage causes execution of a corresponding callback function in one of the gaming program shared objects of the system handler application, (col. 2:34-59).
- **15. Regarding claim 64**; Suzuki discloses wherein the computerized game controller comprises general purpose computer system, (col. 26:56-60).

Art Unit: 3714

16. Regarding claim 65; Suzuki discloses wherein the operating system kernel is configured to execute user level code out of ROM, (col. 27:-40-52 and col. 26:22-30).

- **17. Regarding claim 66;** Suzuki discloses wherein the operating system kernel has at least one selected device handler disabled, (col. 32:40-45).
- **18. Regarding claim 67;** Suzuki discloses wherein the at least one selected device handler that is disabled is selected from the group consisting of a keyboard handler, an I/O port handler, a network interface handler, a storage device controller handler, and a I/O device handler, (col. 3:5-14).
- **19. Regarding claim 68;** Suzuki discloses wherein the system handler application and the operating system kernel work in communication to hash system handler application code and operating system kernel code, (col. 27:40-52).
- **20.** Regarding claim 69; Suzuki discloses wherein the operating system is controlled by a general-purpose computer and the nonvolatile storage stores program variables, such that loss of power does not result in loss of the state of the computerized wagering game system, and the system handler application loads a first shared object and the first shared object calls up a gaming function from within an Application Program Interface, (col. 22:8-34).
- **21.** Regarding claim 70; Suzuki discloses wherein the system application handler loads and executes a single shared object at any one time, and wherein the system application handler shares data with at least one other shared object upon execution of the at least one other shared object, (col. 2:60-3:14).
- **22. Regarding claim 74**; Suzuki discloses wherein the wagering game comprises a plurality of segments each comprising a gaming program shared object, wherein the system handler is operable to dynamically change the wagering game from one of the

Application/Control Number: 09/520,405

Art Unit: 3714

plurality of segments to another of the plurality of segments in response to the change in the stored game data variables, (col. 2:46-59).

Page 7

23. Regarding claim 75; Suzuki discloses wherein the system handler is operable to dynamically change the segment of the wagering game in response to a change in at least one of the device handlers, (col. 11:45-61).

- **24. Regarding claim 79**; Suzuki discloses further comprising a housing that contains the computerized game controller, including the operating system, the system handler application and the plurality of functions, (fig. 1A; console).
- 25. Regarding claim 81; Suzuki and Mastera disclose all the limitations of claim 58 as applied above, and Mastera further discloses wherein the game object is unloaded and the bonus object is loaded upon changing from normal game operation to bonus operation, with relevant data for the game object and the bonus object stored in nonvolatile storage, (col. 12:35-57 and col. 13:5-20).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the Suzuki invention to include the common use of bonus gaming objects in the invention as it is a well-known state of the art technique for maintaining player interest in the game.

- **26. Regarding claim 82;** Suzuki discloses wherein said gaming program shared objects are loaded and executed one at a time, (col. 2:46-55) shows the objects being loaded one at a time following certain steps.
- **27. Regarding claim 83**; Suzuki discloses wherein the gaming program shared objects share data only through the game data storage, (col. 2:46-55) as covered by the reference all the objects data sharing is performed using solely the game data storage; that is the floppy, the cartridge and the system RAM.

Application/Control Number: 09/520,405

Art Unit: 3714

Examiner's Note

Page 8

28. The referenced citations made in the rejection(s) above are intended to exemplify areas in the prior art document(s) in which the examiner believed are the most relevant to the claimed subject matter. However, it is incumbent upon the applicant to analyze the prior art document(s) in its/their entirety since other areas of the document(s) may be relied upon at a later time to substantiate examiner's rationale of record. A prior art reference must be considered in its entirety, i.e., as a whole, including portions that would lead away from the claimed invention. W.L. Gore & associates, Inc. v. Garlock, Inc., 721 F.2d 1540, 220 USPQ 303 (Fed. Cir. 1983), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 851 (1984). However, "the prior art's mere disclosure of more than one alternative does not constitute a teaching away from any of these alternatives because such disclosure does not criticize, discredit, or otherwise discourage the solution claimed "In re Fulton, 391 F.3d 1195, 1201, 73 USPQ2d 1141, 1146 (Fed. Cir. 2004).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANK M. LEIVA whose telephone number is (571)272-2460. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter D. Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Melba Bumgarner/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714

/F. M. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 3714